ì James F. Ryan, SBN 169980 Attorney at Law RECEIVED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER 2 8667 Via Mallorca, Suite 72 La Jolla, CA 92037 3 (858) 455-6898 SEP 0 4 2008 4 MAIL Attorney for Plaintiff BRIAN FARMER 6 7 8 9 **BRIAN FARMER** 10 11 Plaintiff, 12 VS. 13 14 ERIC J. PARK, an individual; and Does 1 to 10 inclusive, 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER

SEP 04 2008

ALAN SLATER, Clerk of the Court

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE, CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER

Case No.: 30-2008-00103341

COMPEL RESPONSES TO

DATE: OCTOBER 15, 2008

TIME: 10:00 AM

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO

[SET ONE]; REQUEST FOR SANCTIONS

CASE No. 30-2008-00103341

REQUEST FOR INSPECTION AND

PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

Defendants.	JUDGE: SHEILA FELL
	DEPT: C-22
TO ALL DADGED AND GIVED AND	TORNEY OF BECODE BY EASE TAKE
	FORNEYS OF RECORD: PLEASE TAKE
NOTICE that on October 15, 2008, at approxim	ately 10:00 A.M., or as soon thereafter as may be
heard in the above-entitled Court, Superior Court	rt of California, County of Orange, Central
Justice Center, 700 Civic Center Drive West, Sa	anta Ana, California 92701, Department C-22, the
Honorable Sheila Fell presiding, James F. Ryan,	, counsel for Plaintiff, Brian Farmer, will appear
to seek an Order Compelling defendant ERIC J.	PARK to provide Responses to Plaintiff's
Request for Inspection and Production of Docur	ments (Set One), and Request for Sanctions as
follows:	
	·

NOTICE OF MOTION TO COMPEL RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR DOCUMENTS

- 1. On **July 14, 2008**, Plaintiff's counsel served on defendant: "Plaintiff's Request for Inspection and Production of Documents" (Set One) consisting of thirty six (36) categories; written responses and the documents were due to be received by **August 18, 2008**. The categories of documents requested consisted generally of:
- a.) All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all possible investment or sales negotiations conducted by YOU, or anyone acting at your direction, of AUTOPEDIA with the Tribune Company, or any other entity, by authority you allege was granted to you by the "AGREEMENT", to negotiate the terms of any investment or buyout on behalf of you and/or the other shareholders, as described in YOUR response "General Denial", to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 6.
- b.) All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any negotiations between Plaintiff FARMER, YOU, and/or Rick Coulthurst, stating that *at all times* it was clear that the obligation to pay Plaintiff FARMER, and his obligation to transfer the shares was *contingent* upon AUTOPEDIA, INC. receiving an offer to sell shares, as described in YOUR response "General Denial", to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 6.
- c.) All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all documents including but not limited to the 'AGREEMENT" reflecting all terms of the *final expression* of YOUR agreement with Plaintiff FARMER, that was entered into by Plaintiff FARMER and yourself ERIC J. PARK, on or about October 27, 1998, for the express stated purpose of YOU, ERIC J. PARK, purchasing a majority of BRIAN FARMER'S interest and ownership in AUTOPEDIA, INC., as described in YOUR response "First Affirmative Defense", to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 7; and
 - d.) All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all sales or

financial data reflecting the actual cash value of all shares of AUTOPEDIA, INC. at the time the written agreement entitled "Stock Purchase Agreement" (hereinafter "the Agreement") was entered into by Plaintiff FARMER and yourself ERIC J. PARK, on or about October 27, 1998, for the express stated purpose of you, ERIC J. PARK, purchasing a majority of BRIAN FARMER'S interest and ownership in AUTOPEDIA, INC.. This "Stock Purchase Agreement" (hereinafter "the Agreement") attached as Exhibit "A" to the Complaint on file in this action, etc.

- 2. Having received <u>no responses whatsoever</u> to the aforementioned Plaintiff's Request for Inspection and Production of Documents (Set One) <u>on the due date of August 18</u>, 2008, nor ever having received any prior verbal, telephonic or written requests for an extension of time to respond to these requests; on August 19, 2008; Plaintiff's counsel made a telephone call to defense counsel Frederick W. Lee's office and left a message with defense counsel's office secretary named "Young", requesting that Mr. Lee return his call regarding the fact that no responses to Plaintiff's Request for Inspection and Production of Documents had been received. Plaintiff's counsel waited two (2) days for a return call. Having received none; he sent a letter via facsimile and U.S. Mail to Mr. Lee, reiterating that no responses had been received, no "meet and confer" phone calls had been received, and that pursuant to C.C.P. Section § 2023.010, Mr. Lee was in violation of said code section by:
 - (d) failing to respond or submit to an authorized method of discovery; and
 (i) failing to confer by telephone with an opposing attorney in a reasonable and good faith attempt to resolve any dispute concerning discovery.

Plaintiff's counsel letter went on to inform Mr. Lee that Mr. Ryan had calendared a Motion to Compel Responses to the Request for Inspection and Production of Documents, and request for sanctions for October 15, 2008, and that: "Absent receipt of full and complete responses to our discovery requests on or before August 26, 2008, the Motion will go forward."

3. Finally on the afternoon of August 26, 2008, a "legal assistant" named "Dave Homsey" in the employ of defense counsel Mr. Lee, called Plaintiff's counsel's office and left a voice message to the effect that he, "Mr. Homsey" and Mr. Lee, had never received these "Request for Inspection and Production of Documents". Mr. Homsey E-Mailed a letter repeating this assertion later that day. Mr. Ryan called Mr. Homsey and informed him, in no uncertain terms, that these Requests were properly and timely **served by mail on July 14, 2008**;

Code of Civil Procedure Section § 1013 (a) states:

"In case of service by mail, the notice or other paper shall be deposited in a post office, mailbox, or other like facility regularly maintained by the U.S. Postal Service, in a sealed envelope, with postage paid, addressed to the person on whom it is to be served, at the office address as last given by that person on any document filed in the cause and served on the party making the service by mail. The service is complete at the time of the deposit."

Evidence Code Section § 641: Letter Received in Ordinary Course of Mail: states:

"A letter correctly addressed and properly mailed is presumed to have been received in the ordinary course of mail."

that the written responses and the documents were due to be received on August 18, 2008; that all objections had been waived by their failure to respond to the Requests in a timely manner, that on August 19, 2008; Plaintiff's counsel made a telephone call to defense counsel Frederick W. Lee's office requesting that Mr. Lee return his call regarding the fact that no responses to Plaintiff's "Request for Inspection and Production of Documents" had been received; that Plaintiff's counsel had received neither a return call nor a response to his letter of August 21, 2008; and that Mr. Ryan found their statement of never having received the Requests to be patently false; especially in light of Mr. Ryan's previous experience with Mr. Homsey's previous false statements regarding when the defendant's responses to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatories would be provided (due on June 4, 2008, Homsey agreeing to provide by June 6, 2008;

NOTICE OF MOTION TO COMPEL RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR DOCUMENTS

CASE No. 30-2008-00103341

Responses not mailed until June 20, 2008). Mr. Ryan informed Mr. Homsey that absent receipt of the written responses and the requested documents, the Motion to Compel Responses would go forward on October 15, 2008.

4. Without giving any credence to Mr. Homsey's statements, Mr. Ryan, (at Mr. Homsey's request), E-mailed the Requests to Dave@Daveslaw.com, and faxed a copy of the Proof of Service to Mr. Lee's office. Mr. Homsey called the next day and left a voice message with Mr. Ryan's office, stating that the Requests were fine and that both the written responses would be E-mailed on Friday August 29, 2008, and that the documents would be provided on that day as well. Neither the written responses, nor the documents have been received by August 30, 2008.

Code of Civil Procedure Section § 2023.010; provides in pertinent part:

- "Misuses of the discovery process include, but are not limited to, the following:
- (d) failing to respond or submit to an authorized method of discovery;
- (i) Failing to confer in person, by telephone, or by letter with an opposing party or attorney in a reasonable and good faith attempt to resolve informally any dispute concerning discovery."

Plaintiff moves for an order compelling defendant's response to the demand pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section § 2031.300; which provides in pertinent part:

If a party to whom an inspection demand is directed fails to serve a timely response to it, the following rules apply:

- (a) The party to whom the inspection demand is directed waives any objection to the demand...
- (b) The party making the demand may move for an order compelling response to the inspection demand.
- (c) The Court shall impose a monetary sanction under Chapter 7 (Commencing with Section 2023.010) against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion to compel a response to an inspection demand.
- 5. Plaintiff further requests that this Court, pursuant to <u>Code of Civil Procedure</u>

 <u>Sections §§ 2023.020, .030, .040</u>, et seq., due to defendant and his defense counsel, Frederick W.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

Lee, Esq., deliberating engaging in these misuses of the discovery process and failing to respond or submit to an authorized method of discovery; and by failing to confer in person, by telephone, or by letter with an opposing party or attorney in a reasonable and good faith attempt to resolve informally any dispute concerning discovery; impose a monetary sanction against defendant and his attorney, Mr. Lee, ordering them to pay the attorney's fees (approximately \$1,700.00) and motion filing fee (\$40.00) incurred by Plaintiff as a result of their conduct.

This Motion is based on this Notice, the declaration of James F. Ryan, and the Exhibits submitted herewith, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities, submitted herewith, such oral and documentary evidence presented at the hearing, and all papers on file with this Court.

PLEASE ALSO TAKE NOTICE, that pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.1308; a tentative ruling will be posted on the Orange County Superior Court website: (www.occourts.org Tuesday at 12:00 P.M. (or soon thereafter) on the day before the scheduled hearing.

DATED: 9 - 01 - 2008

Respectfully Submitted,

JAMES F. RYAN, Attorney for Plaintiff BRIAN FARMER

27

28

	FOR COURT USE ONLY
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): JAMES F. RYAN SBN 169980	, 5.1.555.11.555.
ATTORNEY AT LAW 8667 VIA MALLORCA, SUITE 72	
LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92037	
LA JOLLA, CALII Old III 72037	
TELEPHONE NO.: (858) 455-6898 FAX NO. (Optional):	
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name): BRIAN FARMER	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE	
STREET ADDRESS: 700 CIVIC CENTER DRIVE WEST	
mailing address: P.O. BOX 838 city and zip code: SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA 92702-0838	
BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER	
PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF: BRIAN FARMER	
PETHONER/PLAINTIFF. DICEARY LANGUAGE	
RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT: ERIC J. PARK	
RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT: EXIC J. PARK	
	CACE MULIDED.
PROOF OF SERVICE BY FIRST-CLASS MAIL—CIVIL	CASE NUMBER: 30-2008-00103341
LKOOL OL SEKAIGE BLI 19701-OFFICE INVIET	30-2008-00103341
(Do not use this Proof of Service to show service of a Summons a	nd Complaint.)
I am over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am a resident of or employed.	in the county where the mailing
took place.	s in the second inverse are an area
2. My residence or business address is:	
8667 VIA MALLORCA, SUITE 72	
LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92037	
3. On (date): September 2, 2008 I mailed from (city and state): SAN DIEGO, CALIF	ORNIA
the following documents (specify):	
1) Notice of Motion and Motion to Compel Responses to Request for In	spection and Production of
Documents [Set One]; Request for Sanctions; (2.) Memorandum of Point	s and Authorities in Support
Thereof; (3.) Declaration of James F. Ryan, Exhibits "A"-"D"; (4.) [Prop	osed] Order Granting Motion.
The documents are listed in the Attachment to Proof of Service by First-Class Mai	I—Civil (Documents Served)
(form POS-030(D)).	
4. I served the documents by enclosing them in an envelope and (check one):	
a. depositing the sealed envelope with the United States Postal Service with the	postage fully prepaid.
b. placing the envelope for collection and mailing following our ordinary business	practices. I am readily familiar with this
business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. C	on the same day that correspondence is
placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of busine	ess with the United States Postal Service in
a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.	
5. The envelope was addressed and mailed as follows:	
a. Name of person served: FREDERICK W. LEE, ESQ.	
b. Address of person served:	
5821 BEACH BLVD.	
BUENA PARK, CALIFORNIA 90621	
	•
The name and address of each person to whom I mailed the documents is listed in by First-Class Mail—Civil (Persons Served) (POS-030(P)).	n the Attachment to Proof of Service
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing	is true and correct.
Date: SEPTEMBER 2, 2008	///2
L	
JAMES F. RYAN	/· V/ OW
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM) (SIGN)	URE OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM)
Form Approved for Optional Use Judicial Council of California (Proof of Service)	COde of Civil Procedura, §§ 1013, 1013a www.courtinfo.ca.gov

American LegalNet, Inc. www.USCourtForms.com

James F. Ryan, SBN 169980 Attorney at Law 2 8667 Via Mallorca, Suite 72 La Jolla, CA 92037 3 (858) 455-6898 Attorney for Plaintiff BRIAN FARMER 6 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 7 COUNTY OF ORANGE, CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER 8 9 Case No.: 30-2008-00103341 BRIAN FARMER 10 MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND 11 Plaintiff, **AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF:** MOTION TO COMPEL RESPONSES TO 12 VS. REQUEST FOR INSPECTION AND 13 PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS **ISET ONEI; REQUEST FOR SANCTIONS** 14 ERIC J. PARK, an individual; DATE: OCTOBER 15, 2008 and Does 1 to 10 inclusive, 15 **TIME: 10:00 AM** Defendants. 16 JUDGE: SHEILA FELL 17 DEPT: C-22 18 Plaintiff, BRIAN FARMER, by and through his attorney of record, respectfully submits 19 20 the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support of this Motion to Compel 21 Responses to Plaintiff's Request for Inspection and Production of Documents (Set One) and 22 Request for Sanctions as follows: 23 INTRODUCTION AND FACTUAL SUMMARY T. 24 25 On July 14, 2008, Plaintiff's counsel served on defendant: "Plaintiff's Request 26 for Inspection and Production of Documents" (Set One) consisting of thirty six (36) categories; 27 written responses and the documents were due to be received by August 18, 2008. 28 MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES RE: MOTION COMPEL RESPONSES CASE No. 30-2008-00103341

1

- a.) All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all possible investment or sales negotiations conducted by YOU, or anyone acting at your direction, of AUTOPEDIA with the Tribune Company, or any other entity, by authority you allege was granted to you by the "AGREEMENT", to negotiate the terms of any investment or buyout on behalf of you and/or the other shareholders, as described in YOUR response "General Denial", to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 6.
- b.) All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any negotiations between Plaintiff FARMER, YOU, and/or Rick Coulthurst, stating that *at all times* it was clear that the obligation to pay Plaintiff FARMER, and his obligation to transfer the shares was *contingent* upon AUTOPEDIA, INC. receiving an offer to sell shares, as described in YOUR response "General Denial", to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 6.
- c.) All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all documents including but not limited to the 'AGREEMENT" reflecting all terms of the *final expression* of YOUR agreement with Plaintiff FARMER, that was entered into by Plaintiff FARMER and yourself ERIC J. PARK, on or about October 27, 1998, for the express stated purpose of YOU, ERIC J. PARK, purchasing a majority of BRIAN FARMER'S interest and ownership in AUTOPEDIA, INC., as described in YOUR response "First Affirmative Defense", to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 7; and
- d.) All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all sales or financial data reflecting the actual cash value of all shares of AUTOPEDIA, INC. at the time the written agreement entitled "Stock Purchase Agreement" (hereinafter "the Agreement") was entered into by Plaintiff FARMER and yourself ERIC J. PARK, on or about October 27, 1998,

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES RE: MOTION COMPEL RESPONSES CASE No. 30-2008-00103341

for the express stated purpose of you, ERIC J. PARK, purchasing a majority of BRIAN

FARMER'S interest and ownership in AUTOPEDIA, INC.. This "Stock Purchase Agreement"

(hereinafter "the Agreement") attached as Exhibit "A" to the Complaint on file in this action, etc.

- Request for Inspection and Production of Documents (Set One) on the due date of August 18, 2008, nor ever having received any prior verbal, telephonic or written requests for an extension of time to respond to these requests; on August 19, 2008; Plaintiff's counsel made a telephone call to defense counsel Frederick W. Lee's office and left a message with defense counsel's office secretary named "Young", requesting that Mr. Lee return his call regarding the fact that no responses to Plaintiff's Request for Inspection and Production of Documents had been received. Plaintiff's counsel waited two (2) days for a return call. Having received none; he sent a letter dated August 21, 2008, via facsimile and U.S. Mail to Mr. Lee, reiterating that no responses had been received, no "meet and confer" phone calls had been received, and that pursuant to C.C.P. Section § 2023.010, Mr. Lee was in violation of said code section by:
 - (d) <u>failing to respond or submit to an authorized method of discovery</u>; and
 (i) <u>failing to confer by telephone with an opposing attorney in a reasonable and good faith attempt to resolve any dispute concerning discovery</u>.

Plaintiff's counsel letter went on to inform Mr. Lee that Mr. Ryan had calendared a Motion to Compel Responses to the Request for Inspection and Production of Documents, and request for sanctions for October 15, 2008, and that: "Absent receipt of full and complete responses to our discovery requests on or before August 26, 2008, the Motion will go forward."

3. Finally on the afternoon of August 26, 2008, a "legal assistant" named "Dave Homsey" in the employ of defense counsel Mr. Lee, called Plaintiff's counsel's office and left a voice message to the effect that he, "Mr. Homsey" and Mr. Lee, had never received these

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES RE: MOTION COMPEL RESPONSES CASE № 30-2008-00103341

"Request for Inspection and Production of Documents". Mr. Homsey E-Mailed a letter repeating this assertion later that day. Mr. Ryan called Mr. Homsey and informed him in no uncertain terms that these Requests were properly and timely served by mail on July 14, 2008;

Code of Civil Procedure Section § 1013 (a) states:

"In case of service by mail, the notice or other paper shall be deposited in a post office, mailbox, or other like facility regularly maintained by the U.S. Postal Service, in a sealed envelope, with postage paid, addressed to the person on whom it is to be served, at the office address as last given by that person on any document filed in the cause and served on the party making the service by mail. The service is complete at the time of the deposit."

Evidence Code Section § 641: Letter Received in Ordinary Course of Mail: states:

"A letter correctly addressed and properly mailed is presumed to have been received in the ordinary course of mail."

that the written responses and the documents were due to be received on August 18, 2008; that all objections had been waived by their failure to respond to the Requests in a timely manner, that on August 19, 2008; Plaintiff's counsel made a telephone call to defense counsel Frederick W. Lee's office requesting that Mr. Lee return his call regarding the fact that no responses to Plaintiff's Request for Inspection and Production of Documents had been received; that Plaintiff's counsel had received neither a return call nor a response to his letter of August 21, 2008; and that Mr. Ryan found their statement of never having received the Requests to be patently false; especially in light of Mr. Ryan's previous experience with Mr. Homsey's previous false statements regarding when the defendant's responses to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatories would be provided (due on June 4, 2008, Homsey agreeing to provide by June 6, 2008; Responses not mailed until June 20, 2008). Mr. Ryan informed Mr. Homsey that absent receipt of the written responses and the requested documents, the Motion to Compel Responses would go forward on October 15, 2008.

4. Without giving any credence to Mr. Homsey's statements, Mr. Ryan, (at Mr. Homsey's request), E-mailed the Requests to Dave@Daveslaw.com, and faxed a copy of the Proof of Service to Mr. Lee's office. Mr. Homsey called the next day and left a voice message with Mr. Ryan's office, stating that the Requests were fine and that both the written responses would be E-mailed on Friday August 29, 2008, and that the documents would be provided on that day as well. Neither the written responses, nor the documents have been received by August 30, 2008. [See Declaration of James F. Ryan, with Exhibits "A" -"D" attached]

II. LEGAL STANDARD

Code of Civil Procedure Section § 2023.010: provides in pertinent part:

"Misuses of the discovery process include, but are not limited to, the following:

(d) failing to respond or submit to an authorized method of discovery;

(i) Failing to confer in person, by telephone, or by letter with an opposing party or attorney in a reasonable and good faith attempt to resolve informally any dispute concerning discovery."

Plaintiff moves for an order compelling defendant's response to the demand pursuant to:

Code of Civil Procedure Section § 2031.300; which provides in pertinent part:

If a party to whom an inspection demand is directed fails to serve a timely response to it, the following rules apply:

(a) The party to whom the inspection demand is directed waives any objection to the demand...

(b) The party making the demand may move for an order compelling response to the inspection demand.

(c) The Court shall impose a monetary sanction under Chapter 7 (Commencing with Section 2023.010) against any party, person, or attorney who unsuccessfully makes or opposes a motion to compel a response to an inspection demand.

III. ARGUMENT/CONCLUSION

Defendant's and his defense counsel's deliberate refusal to provide Responses to

Plaintiff's Request for Inspection and Production of Documents (Set One) (an authorized method of discovery pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section § 2019.010); consisting of thirty six

(36) categories; written responses and the documents and their failing to confer in person, by telephone, or by letter with an opposing party or attorney in a reasonable and good faith attempt to resolve informally any dispute concerning discovery, violate Code of Civil Procedure Section § 2023.010(d) (i).

Therefore, pursuant to <u>Code of Civil Procedure Section § 2031.300</u> and <u>Code of Civil Procedure Section § 2030.290</u>; Plaintiff moves for an order compelling defendant to provide Responses to Plaintiff's Request for Inspection and Production of Documents (Set One).

Plaintiff further requests that this Court, pursuant to <u>Code of Civil Procedure Sections §§</u>

2023.020, .030, .040, et seq., due to defendant and his defense counsel, Frederick W. Lee, Esq., deliberating engaging in these misuses of the discovery process and failing to respond or submit to an authorized method of discovery, and by failing to confer in person, by telephone, or by letter with an opposing party or attorney in a reasonable and good faith attempt to resolve informally any dispute concerning discovery; impose a monetary sanction against defendant and his attorney, Mr. Lee, ordering them to pay the attorney's fees (approximately \$1,700.00) and motion filing fee (\$40.00) incurred by Plaintiff as a result of their conduct.

Respectfully Submitted,

DATED: 9-0/-2008

JAMES F. RYAN, Attorney for

Plaintiff BRIAN FARMER

James F. Ryan, SBN 169980 Attorney at Law 8667 Via Mallorca, Suite 72 La Jolla, CA 92037 3 (858) 455-6898 Attorney for Plaintiff BRIAN FARMER 5 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 7 COUNTY OF ORANGE, CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER 8 BRIAN FARMER Case No.: 30-2008-00103341 10 **DECLARATION OF JAMES F. RYAN** 11 Plaintiff, IN SUPPORT OF: 12 MOTION TO COMPEL RESPONSES TO VS. REQUEST FOR INSPECTION AND 13 PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS [SET ONE]; REQUEST FOR SANCTIONS 14 ERIC J. PARK, an individual; **DATE: OCTOBER 15, 2008** and Does 1 to 10 inclusive. 15 TIME: 10:00 AM Defendants. 16 JUDGE: SHEILA FELL 17 DEPT: C-22 18 19 I, JAMES F. RYAN, declare: 20 I am an attorney at law, admitted to practice before all the Courts of the State of 21 California. I am the attorney of record for the Plaintiff, BRIAN FARMER, in the above-entitled 22 action, and if called upon, would testify as to the truth of the matters asserted herein as follows: 23 1. On July 14, 2008, I served on defendant: "Plaintiff's Request for Inspection and 24 25 Production of Documents" (Set One) consisting of thirty six (36) categories; written responses 26 and the documents were due to be received by August 18, 2008. (Attached as Exhibit "A" is a 27 true and correct copy Plaintiff's Request for Inspection and Production of Documents" (Set One), 28

1

NOTICE OF MOTION TO COMPEL RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR DOCUMENTS

CASE No. 30-2008-00103341

and Proof of Service). The categories of documents requested consisted generally of:

- a.) All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all possible investment or sales negotiations conducted by YOU, or anyone acting at your direction, of AUTOPEDIA with the Tribune Company, or any other entity, by authority you allege was granted to you by the "AGREEMENT", to negotiate the terms of any investment or buyout on behalf of you and/or the other shareholders, as described in YOUR response "General Denial", to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 6.
- b.) All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any negotiations between Plaintiff FARMER, YOU, and/or Rick Coulthurst, stating that *at all times* it was clear that the obligation to pay Plaintiff FARMER, and his obligation to transfer the shares was *contingent* upon AUTOPEDIA, INC. receiving an offer to sell shares, as described in YOUR response "General Denial", to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 6.
- c.) All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all documents including but not limited to the 'AGREEMENT" reflecting all terms of the *final expression* of YOUR agreement with Plaintiff FARMER, that was entered into by Plaintiff FARMER and yourself ERIC J. PARK, on or about October 27, 1998, for the express stated purpose of YOU, ERIC J. PARK, purchasing a majority of BRIAN FARMER'S interest and ownership in AUTOPEDIA, INC., as described in YOUR response "First Affirmative Defense", to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 7; and
- d.) All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all sales or financial data reflecting the actual cash value of all shares of AUTOPEDIA, INC. at the time the written agreement entitled "Stock Purchase Agreement" (hereinafter "the Agreement") was entered into by Plaintiff FARMER and yourself ERIC J. PARK, on or about October 27, 1998,

for the express stated purpose of you, ERIC J. PARK, purchasing a majority of BRIAN

FARMER'S interest and ownership in AUTOPEDIA, INC.. This "Stock Purchase Agreement"

(hereinafter "the Agreement") attached as Exhibit "A" to the Complaint on file in this action, etc.

- 2. I, having received no responses whatsoever to the aforementioned Plaintiff's Request for Inspection and Production of Documents (Set One) on the due date of August 18, 2008, nor ever having received any prior verbal, telephonic or written requests for an extension of time to respond to these requests; on August 19, 2008; made a telephone call to defense counsel Frederick W. Lee's office and left a message with defense counsel's office secretary named "Young", requesting that Mr. Lee return my call regarding the fact that no responses to Plaintiff's Request for Inspection and Production of Documents had been received. I waited two (2) days for a return call. Having received none; I sent a letter, dated August 21, 2008, (Attached as Exhibit "B" is a true and correct copy of this letter), via facsimile and U.S. Mail to Mr. Lee, reiterating that no responses had been received, no "meet and confer" phone calls had been received, and that pursuant to C.C.P. Section § 2023.010, Mr. Lee was in violation of said code section by:
 - (d) <u>failing to respond or submit to an authorized method of discovery</u>; and (i) failing to confer by telephone with an opposing attorney in a reasonable
 - (i) <u>failing to confer by telephone with an opposing attorney in a reasonable</u> and good faith attempt to resolve any dispute concerning discovery.

My letter went on to inform Mr. Lee that I had calendared a Motion to Compel Responses to the Request for Inspection and Production of Documents, and request for sanctions for October 15, 2008, and that: "Absent receipt of full and complete responses to our discovery requests on or before August 26, 2008, the Motion will go forward."

3. Finally on the afternoon of August 26, 2008, a "legal assistant" named "Dave Homsey" in the employ of defense counsel Mr. Lee, called my office and left a voice message to

24

25

26

27

28

the effect that he, "Mr. Homsey" and Mr. Lee, had never received these "Request for Inspection and Production of Documents". Mr. Homsey E-Mailed a letter repeating this assertion later that day (Attached as Exhibit "C" is a true and correct copy of this letter). I called Mr. Homsey and informed him, in no uncertain terms, that these Requests were properly and timely served on July 14, 2008; that the written responses and the documents were due to be received on August 18, 2008; that all objections had been waived by their failure to respond to the Requests in a timely manner, that on August 19, 2008; I made a telephone call to defense counsel Frederick W. Lee's office requesting that Mr. Lee return my call regarding the fact that no responses to Plaintiff's Request for Inspection and Production of Documents had been received; that I had received neither a return call nor a response to my letter of August 21, 2008; and that I found their statement of never having received the Requests to be patently false; especially in light of my previous experience with Mr. Homsey's previous false statements regarding when the defendant's responses to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatories would be provided (due on June 4, 2008, Homsey agreeing to provide by June 6, 2008; Responses not mailed until June 20, 2008, (Attached as Exhibit "D" is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Page One (1) of Form Interrogatories and Proof of Service dated April 30, 2008 and defendant's Page One (1) Responses to Form Interrogatories and Proof of Service dated June 20, 2008). I informed Mr. Homsey that absent receipt of the written responses without objections, and the requested documents, the Motion to Compel would go forward on October 15, 2008.

4. Without giving any credence to Mr. Homsey's statements, I (at Mr. Homsey's request), E-mailed the Requests to Dave@Daveslaw.com, and faxed a copy of the Proof of Service to Mr. Lee's office. Mr. Homsey called the next day and left a voice message with my office, stating that the Requests were fine and that both the written responses would be E-mailed

NOTICE OF MOTION TO COMPEL RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR DOCUMENTS

CASE No. 30-2008-00103341

on Friday August 29, 2008, and that the documents would be provided on that day as well.

Neither the written responses, nor the documents have been received by August 30, 2008.

- Sections §§ 2023.020, .030, .040, et seq., due to defendant and his defense counsel, Frederick W. Lee, Esq., deliberating engaging in these misuses of the discovery process and failing to respond or submit to an authorized method of discovery; and by failing to confer in person, by telephone, or by letter with an opposing party or attorney in a reasonable and good faith attempt to resolve informally any dispute concerning discovery; impose a monetary sanction against defendant and his attorney, Mr. Lee, ordering them to pay the attorney's fees (approximately \$1,700.00) and motion filing fee (\$40.00) incurred by Plaintiff as a result of their conduct.
- 6. Pursuant to <u>Code of Civil Procedure Sections §§ 2023.020, .030, .040</u>, et seq., my time spent in "meet and confer" efforts with defense counsel, and his employees, preparation of this Motion to Compel, dealing with any opposition and the prospective court appearance, are

as follows:	<u>LEGAL SERVICES</u>	HOURS
	T/calls w/defense counsel, Court clerk, Plaintiff, etc.	1.0
	Legal Research	1.0
	Draft "Meet and Confer" letter to defense counsel	.5
	Review case file documents, prepare Exhibits.	1.0
	Draft Notice, Motion, Declarations, Memorandum of P & A	4.0
	Attend Court hearing on October 15, 2008.	1.0
	ATTORNEY HOURS	8.5
	HOURLY RATE	\$200.00
	MOTION FILING FEE	\$40.00
	TOTAL FEES/EXPENSES REQUESTED	\$1,740.00

I respectfully request that the Court order them to pay the full amount of my attorney's fees (approximately \$1,700.00) and motion filing fee (\$40.00) incurred by Plaintiff.

///

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. By:

EXHIBIT

James F. Ryan, SBN 169980 Attorney at Law 8667 Via Mallorca, Suite 72 La Jolla, CA 92037 (858) 455-6898 Attorney for Plaintiff BRIAN FARMER 5 6 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 7 COUNTY OF ORANGE, CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER 8 Case No.: 30-2008-00103341 **BRIAN FARMER** 10 11 Plaintiff, REQUEST FOR INSPECTION AND PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 12 VS. **SET ONE** 13 14 ERIC J. PARK, an individual; JUDGE: SHEILA FELL and Does 1 to 10 inclusive, 15 DEPT: C-22 Defendants. 16 17 18 19 PROPOUNDING PARTY: BRIAN FARMER, PLAINTIFF 20 ERIC J. PARK, DEFENDANT **RESPONDING PARTY:** 21 **SET NUMBER: ONE** (1) 22 **DISCOVERY ITEM:** REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 23 TO DEFENDANT AND DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEYS OF RECORD 24 Plaintiff, BRIAN FARMER, through his attorney of record, James F. Ryan, Esq., makes 25 the following request pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure, Sections §§ 2031.010 26 and 2031.020. 27 PLEASE PRODUCE and permit the inspection and copying or photographing by or on 28 /// REQUEST FOR INSPECTION AND PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS CASE No. 30-2008-00103341

behalf of the Plaintiff, the documents and/or items requested below. There may be full compliance by forwarding copies of said documents and/or items to the Law Office of James F. Ryan, attorney for Plaintiff FARMER. If there is not compliance by forwarding said documents, then the production for inspection and photographing is to take place at the Law Office of James F. Ryan, within thirty (30) days.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to California Code of Civil

Procedure 2031.210, et seq., defendant is required to serve a written response to this Request for

Inspection and Production of Documents within thirty (30) days after service of this Request.

Regarding copies of photographs: full compliance with this request will require production of Color Laser Photocopies of photographs, if requested. Black and White photocopies of any photographs will not be deemed compliance with this request.

When responding to the following Request for Production, the law requires that the responding party provide such information as is within the knowledge of the responding party, its officers, directors, managers, employees, representatives, investigators, and attorneys; unless protected or waived by a recognized legal privilege.

Subject to these directives, Plaintiff FARMER, hereby requests that Defendant ERIC J.

PARK, identify and produce the following Documents and Materials for Inspection regarding all circumstances surrounding the subject matter of this action as referenced in Plaintiffs' Complaint on file herein, as follows:

DEFINITIONS

The term "YOU", "YOUR" or "YOURS", shall mean and refer to Defendant ERIC J.

PARK, your attorneys, agents, accountants, and representatives, and all other persons acting on your behalf or under your direction.

REQUEST FOR INSPECTION AND PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

CASE No. 30-2008-00103341

17

242526

23

27 28 Whenever the term "DEFENDANT" is used herein it is intended to refer to ERIC J.

PARK, including his employees, agents, and/or representatives. Whenever the term

"PLAINTIFF" is used herein it is intended to refer to BRIAN FARMER, an individual.

The term "DOCUMENTS" or "WRITINGS" shall include all writings as defined in Section § 250 of the California Evidence Code in YOUR possession, custody or control, as well as drafts and copies of writings described herein which are not identical with the original, all "originals" or "duplicates" as those terms are defined in Sections § 255 and § 260 of the California Evidence Code of such writings, recordings of meetings, conversations, or other communications, as well as any audiotapes, videotapes, CDs or DVDs of same.

Whenever the term "DOCUMENTS" or "WRITINGS" is used herein it is intended to refer to documents generated by handwriting, typing, printing, computer generated, computer maintained, or by photocopying, facsimile, and/or every other means of recording upon any tangible thing any form of communication, data or representation, including without limitation, letters, words, pictures, sounds, symbols, or any combination thereof.

Such "DOCUMENTS" or "WRITINGS" are intended to include, but are not limited to: letters, ledger sheets, balance sheets, bank statements, cash, checks, money orders, reports, memorandum, facsimiles, bills, statements, invoices, credit card bills, time sheets, pay stubs, contracts, agreements, articles of incorporation, stock purchase agreements, any documents filed with government agencies including but not limited to the California Secretary of State, County of Orange, City of Huntington Beach, any addendums, notes, computer data entries, E-mails, and any documents reflecting any income of any kind to you or your employees, agents, and/or representatives, including salary, commissions, bonuses, in-kind goods and services etc., paid to you while acting as an owner, officer, and/or shareholder of AUTOPEDIA, INC.

 Whenever the term "AGREEMENT" is used herein it is intended to refer to the written agreement entitled "Stock Purchase Agreement" (hereinafter "the Agreement") that was entered into by Plaintiff FARMER and yourself ERIC J. PARK, on or about October 27, 1998, for the express stated purpose of YOU, ERIC J. PARK, purchasing a majority of BRIAN FARMER'S interest and ownership in AUTOPEDIA, INC.. This "Stock Purchase Agreement" (hereinafter "the Agreement") is attached as Exhibit "A" to the Complaint on file in this action.

Whenever the term "AUTOPEDIA" is used herein it is intended to refer to the business entity entitled "AUTOPEDIA, INC." (hereinafter "AUTOPEDIA") that at all times mentioned herein was, a business established as a corporation on or about December 4, 1996, for the purpose of engaging in any lawful act or activity for which a corporation may be organized under General Corporation Law of California other than the banking business, the trust company business or the practice of a profession permitted to be incorporated by the California Corporations Code, including but limited to an automotive information company.

AUTOPEDIA'S principal place of business was at all times mentioned herein, located at 5392 System Drive, Suite B, Huntington Beach, California, 92649.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all sales or financial transactions handled by YOU, or anyone acting at your direction, which evidence your receipt of any payments of any kind, including but not limited to checks, money orders, cash, goods, from clients/customers of AUTOPEDIA, INC. received for work that YOU or anyone acting at your direction, performed for these clients/customers, since the incorporation of AUTOPEDIA, INC.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all possible investment

with the Tribune Company, or any other entity, by authority you allege was granted to you by the "AGREEMENT", to negotiate the terms of any investment or buyout on behalf of you and/or the other shareholders, as described in YOUR response "General Denial", to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 6.

or sales negotiations conducted by YOU, or anyone acting at your direction, of AUTOPEDIA

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any alleged authority bestowed on YOU, or anyone acting at your direction, to negotiate the terms of any investment or buyout on behalf of you and/or the other AUTOPEDIA shareholders as described in YOUR response "General Denial", to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 6.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any negotiations between Plaintiff FARMER, YOU, and/or Rick Coulthurst, to fix the agreed terms of dividing the proceeds among you and/or the other original shareholders in the event such sale was concluded as described in YOUR response "General Denial", to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 6.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any negotiations between Plaintiff FARMER, YOU, and/or Rick Coulthurst, stating that the "AGREEMENT" was conditional, and that the sum was to be paid by YOU to Plaintiff FARMER at any time within one year of the date of the "AGREEMENT" from proceeds generated by the acceptance of any offer that may be negotiated by "ERIC" with any third parties, as described in YOUR response "General Denial", to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 6.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any negotiations between Plaintiff FARMER, YOU, and/or Rick Coulthurst, stating that *at all times* it was clear that the obligation to pay Plaintiff FARMER, and his obligation to transfer the shares was *contingent* upon AUTOPEDIA, INC. receiving an offer to sell shares, as described in YOUR response "General Denial", to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 6.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any documents whereby

Plaintiff FARMER, specifically acknowledged his awareness of the possible offers to purchase

AUTOPEDIA, INC. stock, as described in YOUR response "General Denial", to Plaintiff's Form

Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 7.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all documents including but not limited to the 'AGREEMENT" reflecting all terms of the *final expression* of YOUR agreement with Plaintiff FARMER, that was entered into by Plaintiff FARMER and yourself ERIC J. PARK, on or about October 27, 1998, for the express stated purpose of YOU, ERIC J. PARK, purchasing a majority of BRIAN FARMER'S interest and ownership in AUTOPEDIA, INC., as described in YOUR response "First Affirmative Defense", to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 7.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all documents including but not limited to the 'AGREEMENT" reflecting your assertion that Plaintiff FARMER failed to exercise ordinary care regarding all the circumstances of YOUR agreement with Plaintiff

REQUEST FOR INSPECTION AND PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

CASE No. 30-2008-00103341

FARMER, for the express stated purpose of YOU purchasing a majority of BRIAN FARMER'S interest and ownership in AUTOPEDIA, as described in YOUR response "Second Affirmative Defense", to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 9.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all documents including but not limited to the 'AGREEMENT" reflecting your assertion that the damages Plaintiff

FARMER claims in his complaint on file herein, were caused by and/or are otherwise the fault of others, including but not limited to, Plaintiff, Plaintiff's agents, employees, and/or Defendants or Cross Defendants, and that if any liability is found on the part of YOU, then Plaintiff

FARMER'S recovery should be barred or reduced by such above-mentioned parties comparative or contributory negligence, or conduct, as described in YOUR response "Third Affirmative Defense", to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 9.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all documents including but not limited to the 'AGREEMENT" reflecting your assertion that the damages Plaintiff FARMER claims in his complaint on file herein, should be barred because Plaintiff FARMER does not come to court with clean hands, as described in YOUR response "Fourth Affirmative Defense", to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 10.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all documents including but not limited to the 'AGREEMENT" reflecting your assertion that the damages Plaintiff

FARMER claims in his complaint on file herein, should be barred because Plaintiff FARMER is not entitled to the relief requested in his complaint because FARMER is estopped to make the

REQUEST FOR INSPECTION AND PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

CASE No. 30-2008-00103341

claims asserted, as described in YOUR response "Fifth Affirmative Defense", to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 10.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all documents including but not limited to the 'AGREEMENT" reflecting your assertion that the damages Plaintiff

FARMER claims in his complaint on file herein, should be barred as to YOU by the doctrine of waiver, as described in YOUR response "Sixth Affirmative Defense", to Plaintiff's Form

Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 11.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 14:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all documents including but not limited to the 'AGREEMENT" reflecting your assertion that the damages Plaintiff FARMER claims in his complaint on file herein, should be barred as to YOU by the improper conduct of plaintiff FARMER, including his failure to mitigate damages and take proper action to mitigate or reduce Plaintiff's alleged losses, as described in YOUR response "Seventh Affirmative Defense", to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 11.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 15:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all documents including but not limited to the 'AGREEMENT" reflecting your assertion that the damages Plaintiff' FARMER claims in his complaint on file herein, should be barred as to YOU by Plaintiff's failure to exercise all reasonably available remedies prior to commencing this present action; and Plaintiff's failure to exercise reasonable care in protecting his own interests in relation to the events alleged in the Complaint in that the losses alleged were legally and proximately caused by his own conduct, as described in YOUR response "Eighth Affirmative Defense", to Plaintiff's

Form Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 12.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 16:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all documents including but not limited to the 'AGREEMENT" reflecting your assertion that the damages Plaintiff

FARMER claims in his complaint on file herein, should be barred as to YOU by the contractual provisions of the parties' "AGREEMENT", and/or the conditions precedent to claim under such agreement have not or never occurred, as described in YOUR response "Ninth Affirmative Defense", to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 12.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 17:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all documents including but not limited to the 'AGREEMENT" reflecting your assertion that the damages Plaintiff FARMER claims in his complaint on file herein, should be barred as to YOU by the affirmative negligence and active misconduct by other party defendants or other unnamed parties, as a result of which YOU could not have prevented or been accountable for the alleged loss or damages to Plaintiff, as described in YOUR response "Eleventh Affirmative Defense", to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 13.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 18:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all documents including but not limited to the 'AGREEMENT" reflecting your assertion that the damages Plaintiff FARMER claims in his complaint on file herein, should be barred as to YOU by intervening and superseding causes, as described in YOUR response "Sixteenth Affirmative Defense", to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 16.

11//

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 19:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all documents including but not limited to the 'AGREEMENT" reflecting your assertion that Plaintiff FARMER had access to the financial viability of his investment, and entered into the AGREEMENT with full knowledge, opportunity for full investigation, and understanding of the nature of his interest in AUTOPEDIA, INC. stock, as described in YOUR response "Seventeenth Affirmative Defense", to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 17.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 20:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all documents including but not limited to the 'AGREEMENT" reflecting your assertion that Plaintiff's injuries and damages were the direct result of consent by Plaintiff, as described in YOUR response "Eighteenth Affirmative Defense", to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 17.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 21:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all documents including but not limited to the 'AGREEMENT" reflecting your assertion that Plaintiff's Complaint is barred as to YOU by the fact that all acts were undertaken or performed by YOU with privilege or justification, as described in YOUR response "Nineteenth Affirmative Defense", to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatory No. 15.1, page 18.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 22:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all sales or financial data reflecting the actual cash value of all shares of AUTOPEDIA, INC. at the time of incorporation of AUTOPEDIA, INC. on or about December 4, 1996.

28 | ///

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 23:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all sales or financial data reflecting the actual cash value of all shares of AUTOPEDIA, INC. at the time the written agreement entitled "Stock Purchase Agreement" (hereinafter "the Agreement") was entered into by Plaintiff FARMER and yourself ERIC J. PARK, on or about October 27, 1998, for the express stated purpose of you, ERIC J. PARK, purchasing a majority of BRIAN FARMER'S interest and ownership in AUTOPEDIA, INC.. This "Stock Purchase Agreement" (hereinafter "the Agreement") attached as Exhibit "A" to the Complaint on file in this action.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 24:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all sales or financial data reflecting the actual cash value of all shares of AUTOPEDIA, INC. at the present time.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 25:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all sales or financial data reflecting the actual number of shares and the actual cash value of all shares of AUTOPEDIA, owned by any and all current shareholders of AUTOPEDIA at the present time.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 26:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all sales or financial data reflecting the actual number of shares and the actual cash value of all shares of AUTOPEDIA, ever sold to and/or purchased by any and all shareholders of AUTOPEDIA.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 27:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all AUTOPEDIA documents used for the purpose of providing marketing, advertising, and informational services through an internet automotive website since the time of incorporation of AUTOPEDIA, INC. on

REQUEST FOR INSPECTION AND PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

CASE No. 30-2008-00103341

or about December 4, 1996 to the present day.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 28:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all AUTOPEDIA documents used for the purpose of providing all records of all profits derived, losses incurred, and a full accounting of all funds ever received by or spent by AUTOPEDIA, thereof since the time of incorporation of AUTOPEDIA, INC. on or about December 4, 1996 to the present day.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 29:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all AUTOPEDIA documents used for the purpose of reflecting any and all actions of the Board of Directors on behalf of AUTOPEDIA since the time of incorporation of AUTOPEDIA, INC. on or about December 4, 1996 to the present day.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 30:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all AUTOPEDIA documents reflecting a full and final accounting from Defendant PARK, of all the funds/fees received for services from all of the customers of the AUTOPEDIA business; an accounting of these funds Defendant used to pay all company, business-related expenses on a monthly basis; all of these funds Defendant used to pay any other non-business related or personal expenditures and provide a monthly written accounting showing the division equally of the "profits" and/or dividends remaining between Plaintiff and Defendant at the end of each month, since the execution of the AGREEMENT on October 27, 1998.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 31:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to any and all AUTOPEDIA documents filed on behalf of AUTOPEDIA, or any member of the Board of Directors of

REQUEST FOR INSPECTION AND PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

CASE No. 30-2008-00103341

AUTOPEDIA, with any government agencies including but not limited to the California Secretary of State, County of Orange, City of Huntington Beach, since the time of incorporation of AUTOPEDIA, INC. on or about December 4, 1996 to the present day.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 32:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to all Books of Account maintained by YOU, which evidence entries made in the books of all sales, purchases, receipts, payments, transactions, property, and income of any kind from any investments you acquired an ownership interests in, due to your position with AUTOPEDIA, since the time of incorporation of AUTOPEDIA, INC. on or about December 4, 1996 to the present day.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 33:

Copies of any and all City, County, State, or Federal business or professional licenses possessed by YOU, or any individual working at your direction, since the time of incorporation of AUTOPEDIA, INC. on or about December 4, 1996 to the present day.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 34:

A full and complete copy of all computer data including all E-Mails possessed by YOU that refers, relates or pertains to, including but not limited to, any and all notes, invoices, sales or financial transactions, investment activity, accounting data, billing entries, or communications of any kind between YOU and Plaintiff and/or any other individuals, regarding AUTOPEDIA business, from the time you entered the written agreement entitled "Stock Purchase Agreement" (hereinafter "the Agreement") that was entered into by Plaintiff FARMER and yourself ERIC J. PARK, on or about October 27, 1998.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 35:

All WRITINGS that constitute YOUR notes, journals and memoranda possessed by YOU

that refers, relates or pertains to including but not limited to, any and all notes, invoices, sales or financial transactions, investment activity, accounting data, billing entries, or communications of any kind between YOU and Plaintiff and/or any other individuals, regarding AUTOPEDIA business, from the time you entered the written agreement entitled "Stock Purchase Agreement" (hereinafter "the Agreement") that was entered into by Plaintiff FARMER and yourself ERIC J. PARK, on or about October 27, 1998.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 36:

All WRITINGS that evidence, refer, relate, or pertain to the written agreement entitled "Stock Purchase Agreement" (hereinafter "the Agreement") that was entered into by Plaintiff FARMER and yourself ERIC J. PARK, on or about October 27, 1998, attached as Exhibit "A", to the Complaint on file in this action.

DATED: TULY 14, 2008

JAMES F. RYAN, Esq., Attorney for Plaintiff, BRIAN FARMER

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY	•	- POR COURT OBE UNLY
JAMES F. RYAN SBN	169980	
ATTORNEY AT LAW		
8667 VIA MALLORCA, S	SUITE 72	
LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA	X 92037	
тецерноме NO: (858) 455-	6898 FAX NO. (Optional):	
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):		
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): BRIAN FA		
	ORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE	
STREET ADDRESS: 700 CIVIO	C CENTER DRIVE WEST	
MAILING ADDRESS: P.O. BOX	838	
	NA, CALIFORNIA 92702-0838	
BRANCH NAME: CENTRA	L JUSTICE CENTER	
PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF: BR		1
PETITIONEN PLANTIFF. DIX	MITAMOULK	
rn	TO I DADE	
RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT: ER	IC J. PARK	
		CASE NUMBER:
PROOF OF SE	ERVICE BY FIRST-CLASS MAIL—CIVIL	30-2008-00103341-CU-BC-CJC
(Do not use	this Proof of Service to show service of a Summons a	nd Complaint.)
1. I am over 18 years of age and	not a party to this action. I am a resident of or employed	t in the county where the mailing
took place.	• •	
2. My residence or business add		
8667 VIA MALLORCA,	, SUITE 72	
LA JOLLA, CALIFORN		
3. On (date): JULY 14, 2008	I mailed from (city and state): SAN DIEGO, CALIF	ORNIA
the following documents (spe		
REQUEST FOR INSPEC	CTION AND PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENT	'S ISET ONE
	,	
	•	
The decuments are lists	ed in the Attachment to Proof of Service by First-Class Mai	I - Civil (Becuments Sound)
(form POS-030(D)).	a iii the Attachment to Froot of Service by Frist-Class Mai	CIVII (DOCUMBINS SELVEU)
	desire than is an amplement of the bonds.	
	closing them in an envelope and (check one):	
	d envelope with the United States Postal Service with the	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	o for collection and mailing following our ordinary business	·
	or collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. O	
•	and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of busine	ess with the United States Postal Service in
a sealed envelope wi	th postage fully prepaid.	
5. The envelope was addressed	and mailed as follows:	
a. Name of person served:	FREDERICK W. LEE, ESQ.	
b. Address of person served	• • •	
	6281 BEACH BLVD., SUITE 301	
	· ·	
	BUENA PARK, CA 90621	
	of each person to whom I mailed the documents is listed in	the Attachment to Proof of Service
by First-Class MailCiv	ril (Persons Served) (POS-030(P)).	
I declare under penalty of penury (under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing	is true and correct.
Date: TIT V 1/1 2000	//	
Date: JULY 14, 2008	. / /	<i>l. L</i>
TARGET BY/AN		1 Dan
JAMES F. RYAN	LOCALITY CTRUS THE FORMS	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF PERSON		TRE OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM)
Form Approved for Optional Usé Judicial Council of California		Code of Civil Procedure, §§ 1013, 1013a
POS-030 (New January 1, 2005)	(Proof of Service)	

American LegalNet, Inc.

EXHIBIT 2

JAMES F. RYAN

ATTORNEY AT LAW

8667 VIA MALLORCA, SUITE 72 LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92037

TEL: 858.455.6898

August 21, 2008

Frederick W. Lee Attorney at Law 5821 Beach Blvd. Buena Park, California 90621 <u>Via Facsimile and U. S. Mail</u> (714) 739-5870

RE: FARMER v. PARK, OCSC No. 30-2008-0013341

PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

Dear Mr. Lee:

Per my telephone message left with your secretary "Young" on Tuesday, August 19, 2008; this letter will serve as our "meet and confer" effort regarding you and your client's failure to respond to Plaintiff's "Request for Inspection and Production of Documents [Set One]" that were served on July 14, 2008. Both written responses and the production of the requested documents were due to be received on or before August 18, 2008.

California Code of Civil Procedure Section § 2023.010 defines misuses of the discovery process as:

- (d) failing to respond or submit to an authorized method of discovery;
- (i) failing to confer by telephone with an opposing attorney in a reasonable and good faith attempt to resolve any dispute concerning discovery.

As of today's date, I have not received any reply to my "meet and confer' telephone message left with your office. Therefore, in light of the above, I have calendared a Motion to Compel Responses to our Request for Inspection and Production of Documents, and request for sanctions, set to go forward @ 10:00 AM on October 15, 2008, in Department C-22. Absent receipt of full and complete responses to our discovery requests on or before August 26, 2008, the Motion will go forward.

lames F. Ryan

Attorney at Law

cc: Brian Farmer



EXHIBIT



ATTORNEY AT LAW

5821 Beach Boulevard Buena Park, California 90621 Phone: (714) 739-1234 Fax: (714) 739-5870

Frederick W. Lee Steven Johnson

August 26, 2008

SENT VIA U.S. MAIL

James F. Ryan Attorney at Law 8667 Via Mallorca, Suite 72 La Jolla, California 92037

Re: Farmer vs. Park

Orange County Superior Court, case no. 30-2008 00103341

Dear Mr. Ryan:

This will confirm our telephone conversation this afternoon, wherein we requested an additional copy of the Request for Production, as well as your previously filed CMC Statement. As discussed, we are not claiming that you did not properly serve these items, only that for unknown causes such were either never received or inadvertently misplaced before being brought to the attention of Mr. Lee. Therefore, the Request was not calendared nor did our client ever have the opportunity to review the request. I understand that you will be emailing additional copies so that we can immediately direct them to the client, and I will notify you as soon as such is complete so that we can hopefully avoid any further dispute.

Thank you for your attention and cooperation.

DAVID HOMSEY

truly yours.

Legal Assistant

EXHIBIT PLANTS

POS-030	p	OS	-0:	30
---------	---	----	-----	----

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, Sizes Bar number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
JAMES F. RYAN SBN 169980	
ATTORNEY AT LAW	
8667 VIA MALLORCA, SUITE 72	·
LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92037	
TELEPHONE NO: (858) 455-6898 FAX NO. (Optional):	,
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name): BRIAN FARMER	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE	
STREET ADDRESS: 700 CIVIC CENTER DRIVE WEST	
MAILING ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 838	
CITY AND ZIP CODE: SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA 92702-0838	
BRANCH NAME CENTTRAL JUSTICE CENTER	
PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF: BRIAN FARMER	
	1
RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT: ERIC J. PARK	
	CASE NUMBER:
PROOF OF SERVICE BY FIRST-CLASS MAIL—CIVIL	30-2008-00103341
(Be and use this Burst of Bendards to the second of Bendards	
(Do not use this Proof of Service to show service of a Summon	* *
 I am over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am a resident of or emplo took place. 	yed in the county where the mailing
2. My residence or business address is:	
8667 VIA MALLORCA, SUITE 72	
LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92037	
 On (date): APRIL 30, 2008 I mailed from (city and state): SAN DIEGO, CAI the following documents (specify): 	IFORNIA
FORM INTERROGATORIES, SET ONE (1)	
The documents are listed in the Attachment to Proof of Service by First-Class & (form POS-030(D)).	fail—Civil (Documents Served)
4. I served the documents by enclosing them in an envelope and (check one):	
a. depositing the sealed envelope with the United States Postal Service with t	
b. placing the envelope for collection and mailing following our ordinary business.	ss practices. I am readily familiar with this
business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing	
placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of bus a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.	mess with the United States Postal Service in
5. The envelope was addressed and mailed as follows:	
a. Name of person served: ERIC M. SASAHARA, ESQ.	
b. Address of person served:	
6281 BEACH BLVD., SUITE 301	
BUENA PARK, CALIFORNIA 90621	
The name and address of each person to whom I mailed the documents is lister by First-Class Mail—Civil (Persons Served) (POS-030(P)).	in the Attachment to Proof of Service
declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing	ig is true and correct.
Date: APRIL 30, 2008 /	(
, 11 Kill 30, 2000	11/200
JAMES F. RYAN	//// W
	ATURE OF PERSON GOMPLETING THIS FORM)
Form Approved for Optional Use PROOF OF SERVICE BY FIRST-CLASS MAIL-	CIVIL Code of Civil Procedure, §§ 1013, 1013a

POS-030 (New January 1, 2005)

(Proof of Service)

American LegalNet, Inc. www.USCourtForms.com

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): SBN 169980 JAMES F. RYAN ATTORNEY AT LAW 8667 VIA MALLORCA, SUITE 72 LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92037 TELEPHONE NO.: (858) 455-6898 FAX NO. (Optional): E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name): BRIAN FARMER SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE SHORT TITLE OF CASE: **FARMER v. PARK** FORM INTERROGATORIES—GENERAL Asking Party: BRIAN FARMER, PLAINTIFF 30-2008-00103341 Answering Party: ERIC J. PARK, DEFENDANT Set No.: ONE (1)

Sec. 1. Instructions to All Parties

- (a) Interrogatories are written questions prepared by a party to an action that are sent to any other party in the action to be answered under oath. The interrogatories below are form interrogatories approved for use in civil cases.
- (b) For time limitations, requirements for service on other parties, and other details, see Code of Civil Procedure sections 2030.010–2030.410 and the cases construing those sections.
- (c) These form interrogatories do not change existing law relating to interrogatories nor do they affect an answering party's right to assert any privilege or make any objection.

Sec. 2. Instructions to the Asking Party

- (a) These interrogatories are designed for optional use by parties in unlimited civil cases where the amount demanded exceeds \$25,000. Separate interrogatories, Form Interrogatories—Limited Civil Cases (Economic Litigation) (form DISC-004), which have no subparts, are designed for use in limited civil cases where the amount demanded is \$25,000 or less; however, those interrogatories may also be used in unlimited civil cases.
- (b) Check the box next to each interrogatory that you want the answering party to answer. Use care in choosing those interrogatories that are applicable to the case.
- (c) You may insert your own definition of INCIDENT in Section 4, but only where the action arises from a course of conduct or a series of events occurring over a period of time.
- (d) The interrogatories in section 16.0, Defendant's Contentions—Personal Injury, should not be used until the defendant has had a reasonable opportunity to conduct an investigation or discovery of plaintiff's injuries and damages.
- (e) Additional interrogatories may be attached.

Sec. 3. Instructions to the Answering Party

- (a) An answer or other appropriate response must be given to each interrogatory checked by the asking party.
- (b) As a general rule, within 30 days after you are served with these interrogatories, you must serve your responses on the asking party and serve copies of your responses on all other parties to the action who have appeared. See Code of Civil Procedure sections 2030,260–2030,270 for details.

- (c) Each answer must be as complete and straightforward as the information reasonably available to you, including the information possessed by your attorneys or agents, permits. If an interrogatory cannot be answered completely, answer it to the extent possible.
- (d) If you do not have enough personal knowledge to fully answer an interrogatory, say so, but make a reasonable and good faith effort to get the information by asking other persons or organizations, unless the information is equally available to the asking party.
- (e) Whenever an interrogatory may be answered by referring to a document, the document may be attached as an exhibit to the response and referred to in the response. If the document has more than one page, refer to the page and section where the answer to the interrogatory can be found.
- (f) Whenever an address and telephone number for the same person are requested in more than one interrogatory, you are required to furnish them in answering only the first interrogatory asking for that information.
- (g) If you are asserting a privilege or making an objection to an interrogatory, you must specifically assert the privilege or state the objection in your written response.
- (h) Your answers to these interrogatories must be verified, dated, and signed. You may wish to use the following form at the end of your answers:

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing answers are true and correct.

(DATE)	(SIGNATURE)

Sec. 4. Definitions

Words in **BOLDFACE CAPITALS** in these interrogatories are defined as follows:

(a) (Check one of the following):

7	(1) INCIDENT includes the circumstances and events surrounding the alleged accident, injury, or
	other occurrence or breach of contract giving rise to this action or proceeding.

Page 1 of 8

Form Approved for Optional Use Judicial Council of California DISC-001 [Rev. January 1, 2008]

FORM INTERROGATORIES-GENERAL

Code of Civil Procedure, §§ 2030.010-2030.410, 2033.710

2

3

5

7

8

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

2223

24

2526

27

28

DDIANIDADA

PROOF OF SERVICE

§ 1013a(3) Code Civ. Proc. REVISED 1/1/88

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE

I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is: 6281 Beach Blvd., Suite 301, Buena Park, California 90621.

On June 20, 2008, I served the within documents described as:

RESPONSES TO FORM INTERROGATORIES PROPOUNDED BY BRIAN FARMER TO DEFENDANT ERIC J. PARK

[Code Civ. Proc. § 2030.010 et seq.]

on the interested parties in this action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

James F. Ryan Attorney at Law 8667 Via Mallorca, Suite 72 La Jolla, California 92037

XX BY (PRIORITY) MAIL: I deposited such envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the mail at Buena Park, California.

I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Buena Park, California, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the parties served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing affidavit.

 $\overline{\text{of}}$ BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I caused to be delivered such envelope by hand to the offices of the addressees.

_ BY FAX: I caused a copy to be transmitted by facsimile to: .

XX (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

___ (Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on June 20, 2008, at Buena Park, California:

David Hornsey

1 Frederick W. Lee Bar No: 201516 Eric M. Sasahara Bar No: 188883 LEE & SASAHARA, APC 6281 Beach Blvd., Suite 301 3 Buena Park, California 90621 Phone: (714) 739-1234 4 Fax: (714) 739-5870 5 Attorney for Defendant ERIC J. PARK 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 COUNTY OF ORANGE, CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER 10 BRIAN FARMER, 11 CASE NO: 30-2008 00103341 12 Plaintiff, Assigned for all purposes to Hon. Sheila Fell, Judge 13 VS. Dept: C22 ERIC J. PARK, an individual, 14 RESPONSES TO FORM and DOES 1 through 10 inclusive. INTERROGATORIES PROPOUNDED 15 BY BRIAN FARMER TO DEFENDANT Defendants. ERIC J. PARK 16 [Code Civ. Proc. § 2030.010 et seq.] 17 18 PROPOUNDING PARTY: Plaintiff BRIAN FARMER **RESPONDING PARTY:** 19 Defendant ERIC J. PARK 20 **SET NUMBER:** One (1) [Form Interrogatories] 21 22 RESPONSES TO FORM INTERROGATORIES 23 These responses are solely for the purpose of this action. Defendant has not yet completed investigation of the facts related to this case, has not completed discovery in this action and has 24 not completed preparation for trial; therefore, the following Answers are given without prejudice 25 to his right to produce evidence of subsequently discovered facts. 26 27 1111 28 1///

BRIAN FARMER VS ERIC I DADV